



# MISO Response to Feedback

Market Subcommittee  
MSC Demand Response Participation Rules  
(MSC-2024-6) (20241107)

January 13, 2025

# Purpose & Key Takeaways



**Purpose:** Address stakeholder feedback in response to MISO's suggested improvements to demand response participation rules which addresses in part FERC's recent Stipulation and Consent Agreements

## Key Takeaways:

- Respond to stakeholder comments and questions
- Provide some additional clarification on why some of these proposed changes are necessary
- Revised tariff rules based on stakeholder feedback have been proposed and posted

# MISO Response to Stakeholder Comments on Demand Response Participating As A Supply Resource

Stakeholder comments	MISO response
A few suggested redlines to the proposed redlines in the Tariff have been made, to support clearer language that can be implemented	MISO sincerely thanks the stakeholder community for these helpful proposals, most of which have been adopted
Reference level calculations are difficult and problematic for demand resources, and shouldn't be required for resources providing Contingency Reserves through Self Schedules	MISO & the IMM agree that Reference Level calculation is problematic but propose to address this as required
Requiring signature pages from an aggregated resource with 000s of residential customers is highly impractical and infeasible	MISO agrees this is problematical but needs to ensure MPs have contractual rights with the end use customers. To that end, signature pages will be required and a random sampling approach for perusing actual end use customer contracts will be used.

# Contact Information

Michael Robinson ([mrobinson@misoenergy.org](mailto:mrobinson@misoenergy.org))

Joshua Schabla ([jschabla@misoenergy.org](mailto:jschabla@misoenergy.org))